



# INTRODUCTION

#### /u/~/o/ Variation in Tagalog

A contrast between citation pronunciation of an unsuffixed (1) versus a suffixed single form (2):

'mix'

- /halo/ (1) [halo]
- /halo-hin/ (2)[hal<mark>u</mark>in]

\*halu 'to mix (together)' \*[haloin]

- Suffixed reduplications show raising, applying to the last vowel in a prosodic unit:
  - /halo-halo/ 'an ice dessert' (3)[haluhalo] ~ \*[halohalu] /halu-halu-an/ 'very well mixed' (4)\*[halohaluan] [haluhaluan]

#### **Prosodic Conditioning**

- Zuraw (2009): an indirect correlation between this prosodic context of vowel raising and lexical frequency.
- Lexical frequency influences which prosodic structure is accessed, e.g., /halo-halo/ 'ice dessert'



- Single prosodic unit
- Higher frequency
- "u" surfaces



- Separate units
- Lower frequency
- "o" surfaces
- Reduplicative identity effect in suffixed forms regardless of structure





#### **Present Study**

- Is there evidence for a relation with prosody?
- Does the prosodic structure affect the vowel variant?
- Prediction: [o] vowels tend to precede a prosodic boundary and [u] vowels do not
- Examine segmental durations: final lengthening of first-copy [o] and initial strengthening C<sub>1</sub> of second сору

# The Role of Prosody in Conditioning Tagalog o/u Variation

Darlene Intlekofer<sup>1</sup>, Jason Bishop<sup>1,2</sup> <sup>1</sup> Linguistics Program, Graduate Center, City University of New York <sup>2</sup> Department of English, College of Staten Island, City University of New York

# METHOD

### **Production Task**

- Self-paced reading of 2 sentences
- Participants provided the reduplicated version of the given stem

#### Stimuli

- Subset of unsuffixed reduplicants from larger study; Cs were obstruents:
  - bago *'new'* (6)bako 'rough'

buko 'node'

- buto 'bone' dugo *'blood'* puno 'overflowing'
- Two carrier frames:
  - (7) Ang unang salita ay [ bago ], at ang pangalawang salita ay [\_\_\_\_]. The first word is [new], and the second word is [\_\_\_\_ ]
  - (8) Ang paborito kong salita ay [ ]. *My favorite word is [ ].*

#### **Participants**

- 13 female Tagalog native speakers recruited from CUNY campuses
- Mean age: 36 years
- Length of residence: 0-25 years; Age of arrival: 14-22 years
- 11 used an additional language at home; 1 used 2 additional languages at home; 2 used English as main home language
- All received monetary compensation

# **DATA ANALYSES**

### **Vowel Labeling**

- Measure of analysis: phonetic transcriptions
- 4 categories: [u], [o], "?", "other"

#### **Segmentation of Obstruents**

- Onsets marked by the onsets of constriction following a previous vowel
- Offsets (and vowel onsets) marked by release of the constriction
- Excluded data: disfluencies and tokens whose target vowels had an unidentifiable beginning and/ or end

#### **Figure 1** Example segmentation of /puno-puno/ 'overflowing'

		Ą				YAAA		YARAAAAAAAAA		
		р	u	n	v1	р	u	n	v2	
$\wedge r$	- ~ ~ ~				( )					$\mathbf{a}$

# RESULTS

#### **Final Lengthening**

Absolute terms: First-copy [u]s (Fig. 2) are shorter than first-copy [o]s (Fig. 3) (p < .001)</p> Relative effect: First-copy [u]s are significantly shorter compared to their second-copy vowel counterparts than [o] compared to *its* counterpart (p < .001).

**Figure 2** Mean segmental durations of reduplicated forms in first-copy [u]s





#### **Initial Strengthening**

- Consonants following [o] productions were slightly longer
- While it was trending in the predicted direction, it was not a significant finding (p > .10).

**Figure 4** Mean segmental durations of reduplicated forms in first-copy [o]s



#### **Discarded Tokens**

- Tokens produced with a large pause between the two copies were excluded: 26 first-copy [o]s and 2 first-copy [u]s
- Marginal significance for pauses following [u] versus  $[\mathbf{0})$  (p = .07)

# DISCUSSION

- Some of the segmental variation in the reduplicants may be prosodically conditioned, providing partial support for Zuraw's (2009) prosodic proposal
- Realizations of first-copy [o] were longer than first-copy [u]. Evidence for final lengthening
- General prosodic adjustment: shorter first-copy [u]s and longer word-final [o]s in the same word
- Direction of first-copy initial consonants consistent with initial strengthening





**Figure 5** Mean segmental durations of reduplicated forms in first-copy [u]s

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank undergraduate research assistants Julia Colon and Nicole Kwoka for help with annotating speech files.

REFERENCES K. Zuraw. (2009). Frequency influences on rule application within and across words. Proc. CLS (Chicago Linguistic Society) 43. P. Schachter and F.E. Otanes. (1972). Tagalog Reference Grammar. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press. L. English. 1986. Tagalog-English Dictionary. Manila: National Store. T. Ramos & R.M. Cena. (1990). Modern grammatical explanations and exercises for nonnative speakers. Honolulu, HI: University of Hawaii Press. J. McCarthy & A. Prince. (1995). Faithfulness and reduplicative identity. *Papers in* Optimality Theory, UMass Occasional Papers in Linguistics 18, 249-348. K. Zuraw. (2006). Using the web as a phonological corpus: a case study from Tagalog EACL-2006: Proc 11th Conf. European Chapter Association Computational Linguistics/ Proceedings 2nd Int. Workshop on Web As Corpus. Pp. 59-66. T. Cho, S. Jun, & P. Ladefoged. (2002). Acoustic and aerodynamic correlates of Korean stops and fricatives. J. of Phonetics, 30(2), 193-228. C. Fougeron & P. Keating. (1997). Articulatory strengthening at edges of prosodic domains. J. of the Acoustical Society of America, 101:3728-3740 S. Jun. (1993). "The Phonetics and Phonology of Korean Prosody," unpublished. P. Keating. (2003). Phonetic and other influences on voicing contrasts. Proc. 15th Int. Congress of Phonetic Sciences: 375-378. T. Cho, Y. Yoon, & S. Kim. (2014). Effects of prosodic boundary and syllable structure on the temporal realization of CV gestures in Korean. J. Phonetics, 44, 96-109. A. Turk, S. Nakai, M. Sugahara. (2006). Acoustic segment durations in prosodic research a practical guide. In Sudhoff, S., Lenertova, D., Meyer, R., Pappert, S., Augurzky, P; Mleinek, I., Richter, N., & Schliesser, J. (Eds.), Methods in empirical prosody research (1-27). New York: Mouton de Gruyter.